

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/972,100	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RANKIN, ANDREW	
	<b>Examiner</b> A. M. Thompson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2825	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Examiner A. M. Thompson.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Mr. Samuel Freund, 30,459.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*No rejections discussed. Only an outstanding drawing objection was discussed.*
**Claims discussed:**
*None*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SRE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to Applicant's after-final amendment, Examiner suggested a specification edit via Examiner's amendment that would overcome the Figure 1 drawing informality precluding amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to the amendment and the application was therefore allowed..